

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8207	
10/815,164	03/31/2004	Min Zuo	121036-067		
35684 7	590 07/18/2006	EXAMINER			
BUTZEL LONG			TRAN, THAO T		
350 SOUTH MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· (-			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/815,164		ZUO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thao T. Tra		1711			
Period fo							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Anv	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS IN	MAILING DATE OF THI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. statutory period will apply and will y will by statute cause the applic	S COMMUNICA I IOF t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>03 May 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is no					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from con					
Applica	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by t	the Examiner.	7 . 1	Funning			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	pection to the drawing(s) b	e neid in abeyance. So ad if the drawing(s) is of	niected to See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).		
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. No	te the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а	Acknowledgment is made of a clair) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act	ty documents have bee ty documents have bee es of the priority docume tional Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stag	е		
Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	· · (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar	Date			
3) 🔲 Info	tice of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 per No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152))		

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This is in response to the Amendment filed on 5/03/2006.
- 2. The prior art rejections of the claims are maintained and reiterated as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. in view of Nippon Mektron, Limited. Chen et al. (US 5,290,909) can be found on the applicant's Form PTO-1449.

Chen discloses polyimide film compositions applied to metallic foil substrates comprising the reaction product of the applicant's claimed components (B), (C), (D1), and (D2) (abstract; example 1). Examples show the applicant's claimed ratios of (C) to (D1) or (D2) (examples 1-2). The examples also teach the claimed method of applying a polyamic acid in a polar solvent, drying the film, and heating the film to form the polyimide. Flexible circuit boards are noted (col. 5 lines 17-25).

However, the reference does not teach a mixture of dianhydride monomers. Nippon Mektron teaches copolyimides, where mixtures of dianhydrides are used. Component (A) is used with a second dianhydride similar to (B) and reacted with (C) to enhance solubility of the

Art Unit: 1711

resulting polyimide (col. 2 lines 14-21; examples). Thus, it would have been prima facie obvious to combine components (B) and (A) in the applicant's claimed ratios to provide a finished polyimide film with enhanced solubility.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/03/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Nippon Mektron is used to illustrate that a mixture of dianhydride monomers has been taught in the prior art of polyimide film composition to enhance solubility of the resulting polyimide. Chen discloses a polyimide film composition comprising all other presently claimed components, but lacking component (A). Thus, Nippon Mektron is used to remedy Chen for the purpose of enhancing solubility of the polyimide composition.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1711

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thao T. Tran

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711

tt

July 13, 2006